

FORM PTO-1390 (Modified)  
(REV 10-95)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES

639321.0004 (MIII-102)

DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR

CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371

09/445843

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.  
PCT/SE98/01316INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE  
03 JULY 1998PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED  
24 JULY 1997

TITLE OF INVENTION

AN INTERNET CACHING SYSTEM

APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US

LINDBO, Sverker

Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:

1. ☒ This is a **FIRST** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
2. ☐ This is a **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.
3. ☒ This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and PCT Articles 22 and 39(1).
4. ☒ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.
5. ☒ A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371 (c) (2))
  - a. ☐ is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☒ has been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).
6. ☐ A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).
7. ☒ A copy of the International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210).
8. ☒ Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3))
  - a. ☐ are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).
  - b. ☐ have been transmitted by the International Bureau.
  - c. ☐ have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.
  - d. ☐ have not been made and will not be made.
9. ☐ A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).
10. ☒ An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(4)).
11. ☐ A copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409).
12. ☐ A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(5)).

## Items 13 to 18 below concern document(s) or information included:

13. ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
14. ☒ An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.
15. ☒ A **FIRST** preliminary amendment.  
A **SECOND** or **SUBSEQUENT** preliminary amendment.
16. ☐ A substitute specification.
17. ☐ A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.
18. ☒ Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail
19. ☐ Other items or information:

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR 1.492(a)(1) - (5)) : <b>09/435843</b>	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. <b>PCT/SE98/01316</b>	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER <b>639321.0004 (MIII-102)</b>
---	--	---

20. The following fees are submitted:

## CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY

## BASIC NATIONAL FEE ( 37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) :

- ☐ Search Report has been prepared by the EPO or JPO ..... \$840.00
- ☐ International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) ..... \$670.00
- ☐ No international preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) ..... \$760.00
- ☒ Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO ..... \$970.00
- ☐ International preliminary examination fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.482) and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(2)-(4) ..... \$96.00

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =

\$970.00

Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (e)).

\$0.00

CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE
Total claims	34 - 20 =	14	x \$18.00
Independent claims	3 - 3 =	0	x \$78.00

\$252.00

\$0.00

Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable). ☐

\$0.00

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =

\$1,222.00

Reduction of 1/2 for filing by small entity, if applicable. Verified Small Entity Statement must also be filed (Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1.28) (check if applicable). ☐

\$0.00

SUBTOTAL =

\$1,222.00

Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than ☐ 20 ☐ 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (f)).

\$0.00

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =

\$1,222.00

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31) (check if applicable). ☐

\$0.00

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =

\$1,222.00

Amount to be:

refunded \$

charged \$

☒ A check in the amount of \$1,222.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed.☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the above fees.  
A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. **11-0231** A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

R. Thomas Payne  
Cummings Lockwood  
Four Stamford Plaza  
Stamford, CT 06904

SIGNATURE

NAME

REGISTRATION NUMBER

DATE

Serial No.: (Unassigned)  
639321.0004

09/445843  
514 Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 DEC 1999  
MIII-102  
PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: )  
)  
Sverker LINDBO )Group Art Unit: (Unassigned)  
)  
Serial No.: (Unassigned) )Examiner: (Unassigned)  
)  
Filed: (Concurrently Herewith) )  
)  
For: INTERNET CACHING SYSTEM )  
)  
)  
)

**PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.115**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination of the application filed concurrently herewith and  
before calculation of the filing fee, please amend the claims as follows.

**IN THE CLAIMS:**

8. (Amended) Method as claimed in [any one of the preceding claims] claim  
1, comprising distributing said information to said set of geographically distributed cache  
servers using multicast communication.

10. (Amended) Method as claimed in [any one of the preceding claims] claim  
1, comprising only distributing said information based upon the outcome of a rule  
determining, for each derived piece of information, whether or not it is to be distributed to  
said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

11. (Amended) Method as claimed in [any one of the preceding claims] claim  
1, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers serve different geographical  
regions.

12. (Amended) Method as claimed in [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined area.

21. (Amended) Internet caching system as claimed in [any one of claims 14 to 20] claim 14, wherein said distributing means are arranged to distribute said information to said set of cache servers using multicast communication.

23. (Amended) Internet caching system as claimed in [any one of claims 14 to 22] claim 14, comprising processing means for determining whether or not said derived information is to be distributed to said set of cache servers.

24. (Amended) Internet caching system as claimed in [any one of claims 14 to 23] claim 14, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are arranged to serve different geographical regions.

25. (Amended) Internet caching system as claimed in [any one of claims 14 to 24] claim 14, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area.

26. (Amended) Internet caching system as claimed in claims 24 [to 24], wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area encompassing said regions.

29. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27 [or 28], comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be cached in said server.

30. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27[, 28 or 29], comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be distributed to said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

31. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29 [or 30], wherein decisions made by said processing means is based upon whether or not said content provider is located within said region.

32. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29[, 30 or 31], wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to not cache said information and not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed

cache servers if said end user and said Internet content provider are both located within the

5 same region as said server.

33. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29[, 30 or 31], wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to cache said information and to not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet content provider is located within the same region as said server.

34. (Amended) Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29[, 30 or 31], wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to not cache said information but instead only distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet content provider is located within the same region

5 as said server.


### REMARKS

This amendment removes multiple dependent claims for calculating the application fee. The subject application and the International Application have common inventorship.

Examination of the claims, as amended, on the merits is respectfully requested.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account No. 11-0231.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: December 13, 1999

R. Thomas Payne, Reg. No. 30,674  
Attorney for Applicant  
CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD  
Four Stamford Plaza  
P.O. Box 120  
Stamford, Connecticut 06904  
(203) 351-4192

CLAIMS

1. Method for caching Internet information, comprising the steps of:

- 5        deriving information sent to an end user from an Internet content provider based upon an information request from said end user; and  
      distributing said information to a set of geographically distributed cache servers.

10

2. Method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said deriving step is performed in connection with a cache server serving said end user, said end user being located in a geographical region served by said a cache server.

15

3. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising storing said information in said a cache server.

4. Method as claimed in claim 3, comprising storing  
20 said information in said a cache server only if said content provider is located outside said region.

5. Method as claimed in claim 3, comprising storing  
25 said information in said a cache server only if said content provider is located inside said region.

6. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising performing said distributing step only if said content provider is located outside said region.

30

7. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising performing said distributing step only if said content provider is located inside said region.

35        8. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, comprising distributing said information to said

19

set of geographically distributed cache servers using multicast communication.

9. Method as claimed in claim 8, comprising distributing said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers using a satellite link.

10. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, comprising only distributing said information based upon the outcome of a rule determining, for each derived piece of information, whether or not it is to be distributed to said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

11. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers serve different geographical regions.

12. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined area.

13. Method as claimed in claim 11, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined area encompassing said regions.

14. Internet caching system, comprising:  
a set of geographically distributed cache servers;  
means for deriving Internet information derived in connection with the operation of one of said cache servers; and  
means for distributing said derived information to essentially all of said cache servers.

15. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 14,  
wherein said deriving means are arranged to derive said  
information in connection with the sending of said  
information from an Internet content provider to an end  
5 user as a result of a specific information request by  
said end user, said end user being located in a geogra-  
phical region served by said one of said cache servers.

16. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
10 wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
store said information.

17. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 16,  
wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
15 store said information only if said content provider is  
located outside said region.

18. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 16,  
wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
20 store said information only if said content provider is  
located inside said region.

19. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
wherein said distributing means are arranged to distri-  
25 bute said information to said set of cache servers only  
if said content provider is located outside said region.

20. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
wherein said distributing means are arranged to distri-  
30 bute said information to said set of cache servers only  
if said content provider is located inside said region.

21. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of  
claims 14 to 20, wherein said distributing means are  
35 arranged to distribute said information to said set of  
cache servers using multicast communication.



21

22. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 21, wherein said distributing means are arranged to distribute said information to said set cache servers via a satellite link.

5

23. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 22, comprising processing means for determining whether or not said derived information is to be distributed to said set of cache servers.

10

24. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 23, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are arranged to serve different geographical regions.

15

25. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 24, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area.

20

26. Internet caching system as claimed in claims 24 to 24, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area encompassing said regions.

25

27. Internet cache server arranged in a geographical region to primarily serve users in that region, comprising:

30 means for deriving information sent by an Internet content provider to an end user located within said region as a result of an information request made by said end user; and

35 means for distributing said information to a set of geographically distributed cache servers, said servers preferably serving different geographical regions.

28. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27, wherein said cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area.

5        29. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27 or 28, comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be cached in said server.

10       30. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27, 28 or 29, comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be distributed to said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

15       31. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29 or 30, wherein decisions made by said processing means is based upon whether or not said content provider is located within said region.

20       32. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30 or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to not cache said information and not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said end user and said  
25       Internet content provider are both located within the same region as said server.

30       33. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30 or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to cache said information and to not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet content provider is located within the same region as said  
35       server.

34. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30  
or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to  
instruct said server to not cache said information but  
instead only distribute said information to said set of  
5 geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet  
content provider is located within the same region as  
said server.

INTERNET CACHING SYSTEMTechnical field of invention

The present invention relates to a method, a system and a server for caching Internet information content.

5 Background to the invention and Prior Art

Over the last few years, Internet has developed into the fastest growing means of communication and is predicted to be the main system for distributing information in the future. The Internet has become increasingly popular  
10 and the number of users is growing at a magnificent rate. Anybody can provide any information - text, pictures, audio and video - on the net where it can be retrieved by users anywhere in the world. This makes for the incredible success of the Internet and its currently most used  
15 feature, the World Wide Web, WWW.

However, the popularity of the Internet, and especially the use of the Internet application World Wide Web, is putting the net under enormous capacity pressure. Traffic on the Internet doubles about every three months,  
20 with no signs of slowing down. This kind of exponential growth will eventually slow down, but several factors indicate that it is still far from any slowdown in growth.

Today, only a small fraction of all PCs have access  
25 to the Internet. It is believed that most of those who have made and will make the investment in a PC will eventually want access to the Internet. Furthermore, communication speeds between the user and the Internet infrastructure is increasing rapidly. Technologies such  
30 as ISDN, Cable TV modems and xDSL are emerging. Moreover, manufactures of household electronics are launching low costs devices to give Internet World Wide Web access through television sets.

These and other factors are all contributing in making it increasingly difficult to access information on the Internet, since the system is basically being over-loaded. In many parts of the world, the lack of sufficient bandwidth is a major problem. Also, the passing information between USA and Europe is limited by the transmission capacity of the transatlantic link. The transmission capacity is simply too small to cope with the exploding Internet use.

Basically, there exists two conventional solutions to this problem. A first solution is to add more bandwidth and switching capacity, which is being done, but which carries huge costs and also faces significant technical problems.

A second solution is to use so called caching techniques. Caching basically means to keep track of the Internet traffic and to keep copies of the most frequently accessed World Wide Web files at a location closer to the user than the original files. This hence involve the storing of a local copy of a World Wide Web Site of interest. For example, a copy of CNN's USA-based homepage may be temporarily stored in a cache at a European "proxy" location, whereby European Internet users may access the CNN homepage without having to use the transatlantic communication link, thereby both attaining quicker access and at the same time lowering the load on the net. The total World Wide Web information content itself is getting to be unmeasurable, but only a relatively small subset of all this information accounts for a huge proportion of what is actually viewed. Depending on the size and homogeneity of a user community, about 10-20 Gigabytes of cache storage will (spring 1997) reduce the traffic by 30-50% in that community.

However, in spite of current caching techniques, in many parts of the world, such as South and East Europe, South America, India and East Asia, the bandwidth

capacity of the available communication systems are far too low to provide a desired usability of the Internet.

Summary of the invention

5       The object of the invention to reduce the capacity constraints on the Internet by providing a caching solution which reduces access time on the Internet and which allows growth to a significantly larger amount of users and information traffic on the Internet, especially in  
10       areas where the bandwidth capacity are low.

      According to the present invention, said object is achieved by a method, a system and a server for caching Internet information content according to the appended claims.

15       The invention is based upon the insight that a linguistically and culturally homogenous geographical area often differ in size and shape from the economically and technically optimal region to be served by a single cache server. Therefore, such an area is served by a set  
20       of geographically distributed servers. However, the invention is further based upon the insight that, under the assumption that users in such a linguistically and culturally homogenous geographical area will have homogenous preferences regarding visited information  
25       sites, information cached in one such server due to a user request should also be cached in the other servers within said area, the basic principle being that if one user is interested in a certain piece of information, it is likely that so will other persons within the same  
30       linguistically and culturally homogenous geographical area. The larger the end user community, the larger the probability that someone else within the community has requested any given file.

      Hence, according to the invention, a set of geographically distributed cache servers serving different  
35       geographical regions but having a common relation, such as the relation of serving a culturally and/or linguisti-

cally defined user group or area, is updated with essentially the same information whenever one of said cache servers retrieves information due to an information request from an end user or the like.

5       Such cultural and linguistical homogeneity may be defined by the boundaries of a nation, the boundaries of a specific language, the boundaries of a specific religion, the boundaries of a certain level of technology or development, the boundaries of a certain economical  
10   area, as well as combinations thereof, or the like.

Hence, according to an embodiment of the invention, said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically and culturally  
15   defined global geographical area or a geographically defined community. Furthermore, each of said cache servers is preferably arranged to serve a respective local region within said geographical area or community.

For example, the German speaking parts of Germany, Austria, Switzerland and Italy may be seen as defining a  
20   cultural and linguistically homogenous global area. Therefore a set of cache servers operating according to the invention is distributed within this area. Among this set of servers, provided within the global area defined above, one (or more) may be for example arranged to serve  
25   a first region covering the northern part of Germany, a second one (or more) to serve a second region covering the eastern part of Germany, a third one (or more) to serve a third region covering the central part of Germany, a fourth one (or more) to serve a fourth region  
30   covering Switzerland and the northern part of Italy, and finally a fifth one (or more) to serve a fifth region covering Austria.

Note that a defined geographical area according to the invention does not necessarily imply a homogenous,  
35   continuous area encompassed by a closed boundary, but may just as well mean a set of geographically separated sub-

areas together defining an area according to the invention.

According to estimations made by the inventor, in some parts of the world, such as India and South America, the bandwidth capacity of the telecommunication network is so small, that it is actually more efficient to distribute a specific package of information to all cache servers within the defined global area based upon just one information request to the net from one single user, than to have another user search the net for the same package of information a second time. However, rules for determining when to perform distributed caching according to the invention or not, may be set in different ways depending on the actual application environment, as will be discussed below.

The distribution of information to the set of cache servers according to the invention may be performed in many different ways. According to one aspect, the Internet itself could be used. However this would put event more strain on the transfer capacity of the net. Therefore, according to a preferred embodiment of the invention, the information is distributed to said set of cache servers using multicast communication, preferably using dedicated data channels separated from the Internet communication itself.

According to a preferred embodiment, said information is distributed to said set of cache servers using a satellite link. Each of the servers then preferably have access to an uplink for sending said information, and all servers receives a downlink which provides information sent from any one of the servers. The hardware and software for handling such distribution may be provided in association to the cache server itself, of may be provided by for example an Internet Service Provider or an Satellite Communication Link Provider. Similarly, the software for controlling the information flow and for performing decisions within the system may be provided at



the cache servers, at the Internet Service Providers or wherever convenient, as will be clear for a person skilled in the art. If a satellite system is used, the satellite link may also be used for transferring or  
5 relaying request to other parts of the globe, for example from Europe to USA and vice versa.

According to an alternative embodiment, all cache servers within the system are connected via data channels to a central control unit. Such a central control unit  
10 may for example control the decisions as to whether or not information should be cached and as to how it is to be distributed and so on.

Depending on the size of the community, the capacity of the cache servers, the available communication capacity of the net, the objects of the cache provider, and  
15 so on, the distributed caching technique according to the invention may be arranged to distribute, or not distribute, information based on different rules. According to a preferred embodiment of the invention, only requests or  
20 frames referring to a specific communication format or application, corresponding to the type of information service provided on the local storing means, is cached. For example, only so called TCP-queries to the WWW port. According to another example, the cache server provider  
25 may decide only to cache from specific addresses (e.g. only .com or .org addresses), only after a certain number of requests has been made within the system (e.g. after three separate requests), or the like.

The processing means necessary for performing such  
30 decisions may be arranged at each cache server, at a central control unit as mentioned above, at an Internet Service Provider or similar location working in association with the cache servers, or the like.

Also request relating to an Information Content  
35 Provider located in a region served by one of the cache servers in said set of cache servers may be handled in

different ways according to different embodiments of the invention, as will be discussed in the following.

According to two first embodiments thereof, it is assumed that a local information request from an end user to an Internet content provider, both being located within the same region, only is of local concern. Therefore the information shall: a) not be cached at all, since a local user will always have easy access to a local Internet Content Provider; or b) only be cached in the local cache server and not be distributed to the entire set of geographically distributed cache servers, since the request probably only is of local concern. Hence, in this context, the term "local" refers to features residing within one of said regions.

According to a second embodiment, it is assumed that an information request from an end user to an Internet content provider located within one of said regions shall not be cached in the cache server serving that region, but shall instead only be distributed to all other cache servers within the system, since a local user will always have easy access to a local Internet Content Provider (hence no need for local caching) but a user outside the region will not have the same easy access to the local Internet Content Provider (hence the need for caching outside the region).

According to another embodiment, it is assumed that the cache server capacity is large enough to handle all request, therefore there is no need for handling information relating to local Internet Content Providers different than other information and, consequently, the caching of information in one cache server within the system shall result in the caching of the same information in all cache servers within the system.

According to yet another embodiment of the invention, depending on the choice of rules for decisions within the system, there is provided a list of Internet Content Provider addresses to be excluded from caching.

Hence, each cache is arranged to check incoming information requests against said list to see whether or not the request shall lead to caching.

Depending on the desired utility, the cache servers  
5 will be arranged to store information for a given amount of time. For example, the caches may operate on the principle of first-in-first-out. According to another example, certain kind of information may be provided with update requirements, which will define the longest time  
10 interval that the copied information may be used to ensure that the information is still correct. Of course, regarding this and other aspects, the invention may be combined with different types of conventional caching techniques, as is understood by one skilled in the art.

15 Furthermore, each of said cache servers may be constituted by several sub-servers connected, preferably via high speed switching means, to a cache manager controlling the operation thereof. Further, there is no limit to the number of cache servers that may be used.  
20 Also, each server may be used by more than one Internet Service Provider.

In the event of a failure of one of said cache servers, it can rapidly be bypassed by the system to restore some service while the cache server is being  
25 repaired or replaced. The cache functionality may then be provided from another server in another region within the system. An advantage of the invention is that the auxiliary cache server then provides the same stored content as the bypassed server, thus making sure that the cached  
30 information is still relevant to the end users in the region of the bypassed server.

Although the description of the invention has been made with respect to the Internet communication system, it is understood by those skilled in the art that the  
35 invention also may be used in other similar types of global information communication systems which may be found in the future and which may show similar kinds of

communication problems. The invention is therefore of course not limited to the Internet application.

Also, even though the description further has been made mainly with respect to the World Wide Web application, it is to be understood that the invention may as well be used for many other kinds of net applications and is not limited thereto.

#### Brief description of the drawings

Further aspects, features and advantages of the invention will become clear from the following description of preferred exemplifying embodiments with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig 1 schematically shows an embodiment of an internet caching system according to the present invention;

Fig 2 schematically shows a cache server arrangement forming a part of the system shown in Fig 1;

Fig 3 schematically shows an alternative cache server arrangement;

Fig 4 schematically shows yet another alternative cache server arrangement;

Fig 5 schematically shows a flow chart of the operation performed by the cache server in Fig 4;

Fig 6 schematically shows a flow chart of the operation performed by the interceptor in Fig 3;

Fig 7 schematically shows another embodiment of an internet caching system according to the present invention; and

Fig 8 schematically shows yet another embodiment of an internet caching system according to the present invention.

#### Detailed description of preferred embodiments

A first embodiment of an internet caching system according to the invention will now be described with reference to Fig 1. In Fig 1, a preferably linguistically and/or culturally defined geographical area 200 is shown

encompassing four geographical regions A, B, C and D. For example, the area 200 could be the British islands, and the regions A, B, C and D could then be Ireland; Wales; Scotland and Northern England; and Southern England, respectively.

In each of the regions A, B, C, and D, there is at least one Internet Service Provider 110a, 110b, 110c, and 110d, respectively, providing the respective region with access to the Internet 100. Each service provider 110a, 110b, 110c, 110d, hence provides Internet connection to a number of end users 120a, 120b, 120c, and 120d, respectively, and a number of Internet content providers 130a, 130b, 130c, and 130d, respectively. It is understood that, even though the end users 120 and content providers 130 are shown in Fig 1 as being separate features, an end user may be a content provider as well.

Each service provider is connected to at least one Internet cache server 140a, 140b, 140c, 140d, respectively. Each cache server 140 hence provides cache functionality to the end users 120 within the respective region. Also, each cache server 140a, 140b, 140c, 140d, has a connection to a satellite disc 150a, 150b, 150c, and 150d, respectively, and may broadcast information to all other cache servers within the area 200 using a satellite 160.

An example of the operation of the system shown in Fig 1 will now be described. When, for example, a service provider 110a in region A receives an information request from an end user 120 relating to information provided by a content provider 130e located in a region E, which in this case lies outside the area 200 (for example, the region E resemble North America), the service provider 110a checks its associated cache server 140a to see if an updated copy of the requested information is stored therein. If so, the requested information is derived from the cache server 140a and is then delivered to the end user. If, however, no copy of the requested information

11

is stored in the cache server 140a, the service provider 110a relays the request to the original content provider 130e via the Internet 100. When the requested information is returned from the content provider 130e via the Internet 100, the service provider 110a delivers the information to the end user and updates the cache server 140a with the received information. As a part of the updating operation, the updated information is sent via the satellite disc 150e and the satellite 160 to the cache servers 140b, 140c, 140d in the other regions B, C, and D, thereby updating all servers with the same information. After this updating has been made, no user 120 within the area 200 need to access the original content provider 130e via the Internet, but can instead use the copy stored in the respective cache server. If any cache server is already full when updating, the information having been stored the longest period of time (since last accessed by an end user) will be deleted from said server. Also each server will use one of several methods to ensure that the information stored in each cache server are accurate and up to date, hence providing reliable copies of the original sites.

In the following figures, for ease of description, elements having similar functions as those described with reference to Fig 1 will be designated with the same numerals.

A cache server arrangement forming part of a system of the kind shown in Fig 1 will now be described with reference to Fig 2. In Fig 2, three end user terminals or stations 120 are connected to a multiplexing unit 170, which may be a modem pool or a LAN router depending on the type of user system. The multiplexing unit 170 is connected to an interceptor 110 arranged at an Internet Service Provider providing access to the Internet 100, The multiplexing unit 170 multiplexes the communications to and from the user terminals 120.

12

According to this arrangement, a user 120 wishing to access information provided for example as a World Wide Web homepage or site by an Internet content provider (not shown), located at a original site of publication somewhere on the Internet 100, generally sends an information request, specifically a HTTP request to the WWW-port, via the multiplexing unit 170 to the Internet service provider 110, where the request is routed out on the Internet 100 to finally reach the content provider. The content provider then answers the request, sending the requested information via the Internet 100 back to the user 120 via the service provider 110.

However, according to the arrangement shown in Fig 2, the information request from a user 120 is examined by the interceptor 110 at the service provider. The interceptor then decides whether or not the requested information, for example the information provided by said the content provider, exist in a copy or similar form on a local cache server 140 arranged in direct communication with the interceptor 110. If a copy or the like of the requested information is stored at the local server 140, the request from the user is re-routed to the server 140, which will then return the requested information to the user. In this case, the information will already exist on all cache servers within the area 200 shown in Fig 1, and there is hence no need for distributing the information to other caches.

However, if the interceptor 110 determines that no copy or the like of the information of interest exists on the local server 140, then the information request from the user 120 is sent on to the Internet 100 as in the conventional form of Internet communication. The interceptor will then monitor the response from the Internet 100 to the user 120 and update the cache server 140 with the requested information as it is received.

The caching of new or updated information in the cache server 140 is, according to the invention, accompa-

nied by the distribution of the new or updated information to other cache servers within the area 200 shown in Fig 1. This distribution is enabled by either the cache server 140 or the interceptor 110 at the service provider, for example, and uses a satellite uplink to the satellite 160 to reach other cache servers within the defined area. Similarly, when receiving information relating to new or updated information from other cache servers within the area, the cache server 140 will receive such information via a downlink from the satellite.

Note that the interceptor 110 in this example only intercepts communication packages of certain specific types. For example, only World Wide Web information requests from the users 120 is intercepted. Other types of communication services, such as e-mail or the like, is left undisturbed by the interceptor 110.

An alternative cache server arrangement, similar to the one shown in Fig 2, will now be described with reference to Fig 3. The arrangement in Fig 2 differs from the one shown in Fig 2 in that the interceptor 110 at the service provider is connected to not only the cache server 140, but also to a quick access cache memory 112. In comparison to the cache server 140 according to the invention, for example providing several hundred Gigabytes of storage, the quick access cache memory will in this case provide a much smaller storage capacity, for example a around one Gigabyte of storage. The cache memory 112 is therefore used to store essentially all information passing the interceptor 110, but consequently, each package of information will only be stored a comparatively small amount of time.

In Fig 3, the quick access cache memory, comprising a memory areas 112 and a look-up table 111 showing which information is currently stored in said memory areas, is used as a working memory of the interceptor 110. Hence, when receiving an information request from a user 120, the interceptor will first check its quick access cache



memory 111, 112 for the requested information. The cache server 140 will then be accessed only if the requested information cannot be found on the cache memory 112. The operation the follows the one described with reference to Fig 2. However, in Fig 3, all information relating to every information request from the users 120 will be temporarily stored in the quick access cache memory 112, either information received from the Internet 100 or information received from the cache server 140, whereas the cache server will only be updated when new information is received from the Internet 100. Furthermore, the cache memory may be user to cache information of other kind than the information being stored in the cache server 140. For example, in addition to storing WWW information, as the cache server 140, the cache memory may also store information relating to other types of queries. Also, information stored in the cache memory 112 will not automatically be distributed to other caches within the area 200 in Fig 1.

Another alternative cache server arrangement, also similar to the one shown in Fig 2, will now be described with reference to Fig 4. In Fig 4, all WWW requests will be relayed form the service provider 110 to the cache server 140. The cache server will then answer the request, if the requested information is stored in therein, or itself handle the information retrieval from the internet 100, either using a satellite link or some other connection 141 to the Internet. When receiving the requested information, the cache server will 140 update itself, as well as other caches via the satellite 160, according to its own decisions, and deliver the information to the end user 120 via the service provider 110.

Note in Fig 4 that the service provider may still handle other type of services, such as email or the like, on its own, without relaying such information packages via the cache server.

An example of the operations performed by the cache server 140 in Fig 4 will now be described with reference to the flow chart in Fig 5. After activating the operation in step S1, the cache server receives, in step S2, an information request from an end user relating to a specific content provider. In step S3, the cache server then determines whether or not a copy of the requested information is stored therein. If so, the requested information is delivered to the end user in step S4 and the operation is ended in step S10. (Of course, the end step S10 may cause a return to the starting step S1).

However, if it is determined in step S3 that no copy of the requested information is stored in the cache server, the process continues to step S5, wherein the cache server sends a request for said information to the designated content provider via the Internet. The cache server then receives the requested information in step S6 and delivers it to the end user in step S7. In step S8, the received information is stored in the cache server, and then, in step S9, the information is distributed to all other cache servers within the area 200 shown in Fig 1. Hence, the received new, previously not stored information will then be stored in essentially all cache servers within the area and thus be easily accessed by all users within the area.

An example of the operations performed by the interceptor or service provider 110 in Fig 3 will now be described with reference to the flow chart in Fig 6. After activating the operation in step S11, the interceptor 110 reads, in step S12, an information request from an end user directed to a specific content provider. In step S13, the interceptor 110 checks the content table 111 of the quick access cache memory 112 to see if a copy of the requested information exists therein. If so, the operation continues to step S15 described below. In not, the operation continues to step S14, in which the interceptor sends a query to the cache server 140 to see if a copy of

16

the requested information exists therein. If the answer is yes, the process continues to step S15, in which the request from the end user is intercepted, and to step S16, wherein the requested information is delivered, either using the cache memory 112 or the cache server 140. However, if the answer from the cache server is no, the process continues to step S17, wherein the interceptor determines not to intercept the request from the user, which is instead passed on to the designated content provider via the Internet. The process then continues to step S18, in which the interceptor monitors the answer sent from the content provider to the end user. When such information passes the interceptor on its way to the end user, the interceptor, in step S19, stores the previously unattainable information in the cache server 140 and distributes the information to all other cache servers within the area 200 in Fig 1. Hence, the received new, previously not stored information will then be stored in essentially all cache servers within the area and thus be easily accessed by all users within the area. Note that every time information is received from the cache server or from the net, a copy is preferably temporarily stored in the quick access cache server 112.

Another exemplifying embodiment of an internet caching system according to the present invention, similar to the one shown in Fig 1, will now be described with reference to Fig 7. In Fig 7, the system differs from the one shown in Fig 1 in the answer from the content provider 130e, in this case being located in the region Eoutside the system area 200, relating to an information request originally sent by an end user 120a, will not be transmitted back to the user via the Internet 100. Instead, the answer is transmitted directly via the satellite 160 to the servers 140a, 140b, 140c, and 140e, thereby automatically updating all cache servers 140a. The cache server 140a and the service provider 110a then

17

handles the delivery of said information to the end user 120a.

Yet another exemplifying embodiment of an internet caching system according to the present invention, similar to the one shown in Fig 1, will now be described with reference to Fig 8. In Fig 8, the cache servers within the area 200, each serving a respective region A, B, C; and D, is connected to via data channels to a central control unit 190. The central control unit 190 controls the decisions as to whether or not information shall be cached in the respective cache servers and as to how it is to be distributed to other caches within the area 200. In this case, information may be distributed between the different caches either using the satellite link or using the data channel connection to and via the central control unit 190.

Furthermore, with reference primarily to Fig 1, 7 and 8 above, it is understood that decisions regarding how to handle the caching of information relating to "local" requests, i.e. for example an information request from an end user 120a relating to a content provider 130a located within the same region A, which has been discussed above in the summary of the invention, the different schemes of operation, including the needed decision-making, may be implemented either at each of the cache servers 140, at each of the service providers 110, or at a central control unit 190, for example.

It is understood that the construction and function of the elements described with reference to the drawings will become apparent for those skilled in the art.

Even though the invention has been described with reference to specific exemplifying embodiments, many different alterations, modifications and the like will become apparent for those skilled in the art. The described embodiments are therefore not intended to limit the scope of the invention, as defined by the appended claims.

18

CLAIMS

1. Method for caching Internet information, comprising the steps of:
- 5        deriving information sent to an end user from an Internet content provider based upon an information request from said end user; and
- distributing said information to a set of geographically distributed cache servers.
- 10        2. Method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said deriving step is performed in connection with a cache server serving said end user, said end user being located in a geographical region served by said a cache server.
- 15        3. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising storing said information in said a cache server.
4. Method as claimed in claim 3, comprising storing
- 20        said information in said a cache server only if said content provider is located outside said region.
5. Method as claimed in claim 3, comprising storing said information in said a cache server only if said
- 25        content provider is located inside said region.
6. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising performing said distributing step only if said content provider is located outside said region.
- 30        7. Method as claimed in claim 2, comprising performing said distributing step only if said content provider is located inside said region.
- 35        8. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, comprising distributing said information to said

set of geographically distributed cache servers using multicast communication.

9. Method as claimed in claim 8, comprising distributing said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers using a satellite link.

10. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, comprising only distributing said information based upon the outcome of a rule determining, for each derived piece of information, whether or not it is to be distributed to said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

11. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers serve different geographical regions.

12. Method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined area.

13. Method as claimed in claim 11, wherein said set of geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined area encompassing said regions.

14. Internet caching system, comprising:  
a set of geographically distributed cache servers;  
means for deriving Internet information derived in connection with the operation of one of said cache servers; and  
means for distributing said derived information to essentially all of said cache servers.

15. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 14,  
wherein said deriving means are arranged to derive said  
information in connection with the sending of said  
information from an Internet content provider to an end  
5 user as a result of a specific information request by  
said end user, said end user being located in a geogra-  
phical region served by said one of said cache servers.

16. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
10 wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
store said information.

17. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 16,  
wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
15 store said information only if said content provider is  
located outside said region.

18. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 16,  
wherein said one of said cache servers is arranged to  
20 store said information only if said content provider is  
located inside said region.

19. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
wherein said distributing means are arranged to distri-  
25 bute said information to said set of cache servers only  
if said content provider is located outside said region.

20. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 15,  
wherein said distributing means are arranged to distri-  
30 bute said information to said set of cache servers only  
if said content provider is located inside said region.

21. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of  
claims 14 to 20, wherein said distributing means are  
35 arranged to distribute said information to said set of  
cache servers using multicast communication.

21

22. Internet caching system as claimed in claim 21, wherein said distributing means are arranged to distribute said information to said set cache servers via a satellite link.

5

23. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 22, comprising processing means for determining whether or not said derived information is to be distributed to said set of cache servers.

10

24. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 23, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are arranged to serve different geographical regions.

15

25. Internet caching system as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 24, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area.

20

26. Internet caching system as claimed in claims 24 to 24, wherein said geographically distributed cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area encompassing said regions.

25

27. Internet cache server arranged in a geographical region to primarily serve users in that region, comprising:

30

means for deriving information sent by an Internet content provider to an end user located within said region as a result of an information request made by said end user; and

means for distributing said information to a set of geographically distributed cache servers, said servers preferably serving different geographical regions.

35



28. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27, wherein said cache servers are distributed within a linguistically or culturally defined geographical area.

5        29. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27 or 28, comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be cached in said server.

10       30. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 27, 28 or 29, comprising processing means for determining whether or not a specific piece of derived information is to be distributed to said set of geographically distributed cache servers.

15       31. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29 or 30, wherein decisions made by said processing means is based upon whether or not said content provider is located within said region.

20       32. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30 or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to not cache said information and not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said end user and said  
25       Internet content provider are both located within the same region as said server.

30       33. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30 or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to cache said information and to not distribute said information to said set of geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet content provider is located within the same region as said  
35       server.


WO 99/05584

PCT/SE98/01316


23

34. Internet cache server as claimed in claim 29, 30 or 31, wherein said processing means is arranged to instruct said server to not cache said information but instead only distribute said information to said set of
- 5 geographically distributed cache servers if said Internet content provider is located within the same region as said server.


1/7

**FIG. 1**

2/7




**FIG. 2**




**FIG. 3**


3/7

**FIG. 4**


4/7

**FIG. 5**

5/7

**FIG. 6**


6/7

**FIG. 7**



7/7

**FIG. 8**



**Declaration, Power of Attorney, and Petition**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship is as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**INTERNET CACHING SYSTEM**, the specification of which (*check one*)

☒ is attached hereto; or

☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_ and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable); or

**PCT FILED APPLICATION ENTERING NATIONAL STAGE**

☒ was described and claimed in International Application No. PCT/SE98/01316 filed on 03 JULY 999 and as amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above, and that it contains a full, clear, concise and exact description of the subject matter for which a patent is sought.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).

**Prior Application(s)**

☒ (Check if applicable) I/We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code § 119, by checking the box(es) below, any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

			Priority Claimed?	
<u>9702795-7</u>	<u>SWEDEN</u>	<u>24 JULY 1997</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	Day/month/year filed	Yes	No
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(Number)	(Country)	Day/month/year filed	Yes	No

☐ (Check if applicable) I/We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

Prior Provisional Application(s)

_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)
_____	_____
(Application Number)	(Filing Date)

(Note: When the nonprovisional application is entitled to an earlier U.S. effective filing date of one or more provisional applications under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e), a statement such as "This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_, and U.S. Provisional Application No. \_\_\_\_\_, filed \_\_\_\_\_," should appear as the first sentence of the description. In view of this requirement, the right to rely on a prior application may be waived or refused by an applicant by refraining from inserting a reference to the prior application in the specification of the later one.)

- ☐ (Check if applicable) I/We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I/we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S. Application(s)

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (Patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	Status (Patented, pending, abandoned)

- ☐ (Check if applicable) I/We hereby authorize the U.S. attorneys or agents named herein to accept and follow instructions from \_\_\_\_\_ as to any action to be taken in the Patent and Trademark Office regarding this application without direct communication between the U.S. attorneys or agents named herein and myself/ourselves. In the event of a change, I/we will notify in writing the U.S. attorney or agent named herein.
- ☐ (Check if applicable) In this continuation-in-part application, insofar as the subject matter of any of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint:

Barry Kramer, Reg. No. 20,622  
 Mark D. Giarratana, Reg. No. 32,615  
 James W. Jakobsen, Reg. No. 38,505  
 Steven J. Moore, Reg. No. 35,959  
 Basam E. Nabulsi, Reg. No. 31,645  
 R. Thomas Payne, Reg. No. 30,674  
 David W. Poirier, Reg. No. 43,007  
 Scott D. Wofsy, Reg. No. 35,413

of the firm of CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD, whose address is Four Stamford Plaza, Stamford, CT 06904 ; and

as my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please address all written correspondence to the following address:

R. Thomas Payne  
CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD  
Four Stamford Plaza  
Stamford, CT 06904

Telephone Calls should be directed to Mr. Payne by dialing 203.351.4192.

Wherefore I pray that Letters Patent be granted to me for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the foregoing specification and claims, and I hereby subscribe my name to the foregoing specification and claims, declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

**Full name of first inventor:** LINDBO, SVERKER  
Residence: 40 Elm Street, Wellesley, MA 02481  
Citizenship: Sweden  
Post Office Address: 40 Elm Street, Wellesley, MA 02481

First Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Full name of second joint inventor, if any:**

Residence:  
Citizenship:  
Post Office Address:

Second Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Full name of third joint inventor, if any:**

Residence:  
Citizenship:  
Post Office Address:

Third Inventor's signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

StmLib1:733448.1 12/13/99

639321.0004 (MIII-102)

PATENT

**THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: LINDBO ) Art Unit:  
Serial No.: 09/445,843 ) Examiner:  
Filing Date: December 14, 1999 )

Title: INTERNET CACHING SYSTEM

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

**COMBINED DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.63  
AND OATH/POWER OF ATTORNEY**

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.497(a)(4), I, Timo Aittola, believe the named inventor, Mr. Lindbo, to be the original and first inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought. Further, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.63(a)(4), I believe Mr. Lindbo to be the sole inventor. Additionally, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.497(b), I am a citizen of Finland residing at 244 Shelter Rock Road, Stamford, CT 06903, which is also my post office address.

I hereby declare that Mirror Image Internet, Inc., is a company incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, with an office at 49 Dragon Court, Woburn, Massachusetts 01801. As Chief Financial Officer of Mirror Image Internet Inc., I am an authorized representative of Mirror Image Internet, Inc., which company has sufficient proprietary interest to act as an agent, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b), on behalf of Mr. Lindbo, a nonsigning inventor, who refuses to sign a Declaration/Power of Attorney in the above-identified patent application.

By virtue of this proprietary interest, I sign this declaration on behalf of, and as agent for Sverker Lindbo, who, as inventor, has refused to sign the Declaration/Power of Attorney. Sverker Lindbo is a Swedish citizen, whose last known address is 40 Elm Street, Wellesley, Massachusetts 02481. Upon information and belief, I aver that the inventor is

required to execute the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.64(b). My signing is necessary on behalf of Mr. Lindbo to prevent abandonment of the application and the loss of rights associated therewith.

Accompanying this combined declaration, to establish the proof of pertinent facts and to show that such action is necessary to preserve the rights of the parties and to prevent irreparable damage, is a Statement of Facts in Support of Filing on Behalf of Nonsigning Inventor Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b), a Statement under 37 C.F.R. §373(b) Establishing Proprietary Interest by Person Signing on Behalf of a Nonsigning Inventor and a check for \$130.00 to cover the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).

Further, I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as filed and as amended by the preliminary amendment filed with the specification, and that it contains a full, clear, concise and exact description of the subject matter for which a patent is sought.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of Swedish Patent Application No. 9702795-7 filed July 24, 1997, which was the basis for PCT Application No. PCT/SE98/01316 of which the subject application is a national phase application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

I hereby appoint:

10 Mark Giarratana, Reg. No. 32,615

Basam E. Nabulsi, Reg. No. 31,645

Barry Kramer, Reg. No. 20,622

George N. Chaclos, Reg. No. 46,608

David J. Silvia, Reg. No. P49,036

Richard H. Newman, Reg. No. 41,222

Daniel F. Coughlin, Reg. No. 36,111

R. Thomas Payne, Reg. No. 30,674

Scott D. Wofsy, Reg. No. 35,413

Eric Grondahl, Reg. No. P-46,741

of the firm of CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD, whose address is Four Stamford Plaza, P.O. Box 120, Stamford, Connecticut 06904-0120, as our attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Please address all written correspondence to the following address:

CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD

Att: Anita Lomartra

P.O. Box 1960

New Haven, CT 06509-9958

Telephone Calls should be directed to George N. Chaclos by dialing (203) 351-4103.

Wherefore I pray that Letters Patent be granted to me for the invention or discovery described and claimed in the foregoing specification and claims, and I hereby subscribe my name to the foregoing specification and claims, declaration, power of attorney, and this petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

June 13, 2001



Timo Aittola  
Chief Financial Officer  
Mirror Image Internet Inc.  
49 Dragon Court  
Woburn, Massachusetts 01801